Postal Regulatory Commission Submitted 5/28/2015 3:56:07 PM Filing ID: 92428 Accepted 5/28/2015

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Competitive Product Prices Priority Mail Contract 80 (MC2014-23) Negotiated Service Agreement Docket No. CP2014-38

PUBLIC REPRESENTATIVE COMMENTS ON POSTAL SERVICE NOTICE OF AMENDMENT TO PRIORITY MAIL CONTRACT 80

(May 28, 2015)

The Public Representative hereby provides comments pursuant to Order No. 2499.¹ In that Order, the Commission established the above referenced docket to receive comments from interested persons, including the undersigned Public Representative, on a Postal Service Notice of a change in the terms of Priority Mail Contract 80.² Attachment A to the Notice consists of a redacted version of the amendment to Priority Mail Contract 80.

The Postal Service asserts that the amendment will not materially affect the cost coverage of Priority Mail Contract 80. Notice at 1. The Postal Service adds that as a result, the supporting financial documentation and financial certification initially provided in this docket remains applicable. *Id.* The Postal Service also states that the amendment will take effect one business day following the day on which Commission completes its regulatory review of this filing. *Id.*

¹ PRC Order No. 2499, Notice and Order Concerning Amendment to a Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement, May 22, 2015.

² Notice of United States Postal Service of Amendment to Priority Mail Contract 80, with Portions Filed Under Seal, May 21, 2015 (Notice).

Docket No. CP2014-38 PR Comments

COMMENTS

The Public Representative has reviewed Priority Mail Contract 80 and the Postal Service's amendment thereto. Based upon that review, the Public Representative recommends Commission approval of the amendment to Priority Mail Contract 80.

The Public Representative agrees with the Postal Service assessment that the amendment would not material affect the cost coverage of Priority Mail Contract 80. As filed, the revised terms relate to the presentation of the shipment of packages and the minimal volume commitment for the second year of the contract. The calculation of costs remains unchanged. As a result, there is no material effect on cost coverage, arising from the revision in the second year minimum volume commitment.

The Public Representative respectfully submits the foregoing comments for the Commission's consideration.

Cassie D'Souza
Public Representative

901 New York Ave. NW Washington, DC 20268-0001 202-789-6815 cassie.dsouza@prc.gov